Appendix "C"Public Comments and Responses

Lockheed Martin email, Subject: Rule 219, July 26, 2016. 1.

This page intentionally left blank.

1. Lockheed Martin email

Barbara Lods

From:

Bret Banks

Sent:

Thursday, September 15, 2016 7:55 AM

To: Subject: Attachments: Barbara Lods FW: Rule 219 AV219 D1.doc

From: Stepman, Marci B [mailto:marci.b.stepman@lmco.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 10:16 AM

To: Bret Banks

Cc: Jackson, Angelica C Subject: FW: Rule 219

Hi Bret-

Angelica asked that I send this along to you. District's additions are in red – what we are proposing is in blue. You may have to save it and then "show markup detail" to see the additions.

Feel free to call if you have questions or comments.

Thanks for sending!

Marci

1

- 1. District response to Lockheed comment
- 1. Industry provided comment on July 26 to add language derived from 40 CFR 63.11514. Please see proposed AV Rule 219 § (E)(5)(h) for proposed updated language.
- 2. Industry provided comment on July 26 to amend language. Please see proposed AV Rule 219 § (E)(11)(b) for proposed updated language.
- 3. Industry provided comment on July 26 requesting to add language which would exempt spray equipment used in conjunction with permitted portable air pollution control equipment. The District has reviewed this comment and believes the proposed equipment-based exemption is adequate. Please see proposed AV Rule 219 § (E)(12)(o).
- 4. Industry provided comment on July 26 to amend language derived from SCAQMD Rule 219 § (o)(4). Please see proposed AV Rule 219 § (E)(12)(y) for proposed updated language.
- 5. Industry provided comment on July 26 to amend language derived from SCAQMD Rule 219 § (o)(3). Please see proposed AV Rule 219 § (E)(12)(00) for proposed updated language.